

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/475,717	12/30/1999	MARK D. NARDIN	042390.P6942	6762	
7590 05/13/2004			EXAMI	EXAMINER	
GLENN E VON TERSCH			CRAIG, D	CRAIG, DWIN M	
BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP 12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD 7TH FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
LOS ANGELES, CA 90025			2123	10	
			DATE MAILED: 05/13/2004	1	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		/U				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/475,717	NARDIN ET AL.				
, (a), (a), (a), (a), (a), (a), (a), (a)	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Dwin M Craig	2123				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
THE REPLY FILED 04-16-2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this application and a timely filed amendment white an armond the street which are the street and a street and a street are street as a street are street are street as a street are stre	cation. A proper reply to a chip chip chip chip chip chip chip chip				
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
 a)	visory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the nan SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dath have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortener (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	nsion and the corresponding amount of the d statutory period for reply originally set in	e fee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	terially reducing or simplifying the				
(d) they present additional claims without cance NOTE:	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.				
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection.	ction(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely filed amendment				
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because:		sidered but does NOT place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	·					
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1, 3, 4, 5, 6, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 14, </u>	15, 16, 17, 18, 20, 22, 24 and 26.					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	proved or b)☐ disapproved by	the Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	,				
10.⊠ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>	Marda					
	SAMUEL BRODA, ESQ. PRIMARY EXAMINER	DMC				

Continuation of 10. Other: The newly submitted declaration would require further consideration by the Examiner because of the new material provided in the new affidavit and would require more time to consider the second declaration in conjunction with the first delcaration.